		PA	ATENT COOPER	ATION TREA	ATY 🏡	,
From INTE	the RNATIONAL SEARCH	NG AUTHOI	RITY		TANK	LATIO
To:					DAT	4
					PCT	(O)
					• .	* /
					RITTEN OPINION OF THE LIONAL SEARCHING AU	
			ļ		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	. 7
			1		•	
				Date of mailing	see form PCT/	ISA/210
Applis	art's or agent's file referer	nce		(day/month/year)	, ,	7
l	06 359 B	,•		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
Intern	annual application No.		International filing date (	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PC	<b>T/EP20</b> 05/002	740	15.03.2005	•	25.03.2004	,
i e	अस्यात Patent Classificatio	n (IPC) or both	national classification and	i IPC		
EO.	3C1/084					' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '
Apple	.311					
	OPERL GMBH				•	: '2/\/ 
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	I has outnion contains i	ndications rela	ting to the following items			
•	Hox No. I			•	,	, ,
	Box No. 11	Basis of the	obimon			
	Box No. III	Priority	house of opinion with		tive step and Industrial applicabili	
	Box No. IV		y of invention	ard to noverty, inven	rive sach sum tudoarum abbitesom	(y)
	Box No. V	Reasoned st	etement under Rule 43 <i>bis,</i> j	l(a)(i) with regard to	novelty, inventive step or industri	ial (1)
		applicability	: citations and explanation	s supporting such sta	tement	1
	Box No. VI	Certain docu			` .	, 1
	Box No. VII		cts in the international app		v	
	Box No. VIII	Certain obse	rvations on the internation	al application		
2	FURTHER ACTION				\$ \$	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	than this one to be the	y Examining A IPEA and the	Authority ("TPEA") except	that this does not an	ill be considered to be a writte ply where the applicant chooses a rean under Rule 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that w	n Authority offici
	written reply together.	where appropr	considered to be a written riate, with amendments, i of 22 months from the pric	octore the expiration	A, the applicant is invited to sub- n of 3 months from the date of expires later.	mit to the IPEA a
	For further options, see			,		
3.	For further details, see n	otes to Form F	CT/ISA/220.		,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
					4	,.
arne a	nd mailing address of the l	SA/EP		Authorized officer		
					,	,
· • - • •	5 - 3.7 -				1	,

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

International ap	plication No.
B.C. (B.	-000E /000E

	Basis of this opinion		
With re-	gard to the language, this opinion has been established cless otherwise indicated under this item.	on the basis of the international application in the lang	juage in which it v
		other form the adminal leave to the St. St. St. St.	
ب		ation from the original language into the following language of a translation furnished for the purposes of interne	
Ř	ule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	age or a translation reministration the purposes of interior	attonan search (aut
With re	gard to any muchantide and/or emission and convenee	e disclosed in the international application and neces	
inventio	n. this opinion has been established on the basis of:	e orserosed in the interrestoral application and nece	asary to the crain
a. ıyı	pe of material	3	
Г	a sequence listing	• • • •	,
<u> </u>	table(s) related to the sequence listing	٠,	:
L.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	,
b. for	rmat of material	•	,
	in written format	·	
	in computer readable form		,
c. tin	ne of filing/furnishing		<b>.</b>
	contained in the international application as filed.		
F	filed together with the international application in c	omputer readable form.	
<u></u>	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the pur		
	1	poses of scaren.	•
In .	addition, in the case that more than one version or o	ony of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating them	ero has been filed
tyle	mished, the required statements that the information in ad or does not go beyond the application as filed, as application	the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that	in the application
file	mished, the required statements that the information in and or does not go beyond the application as filed, as application as filed, as application as filed, as application as filed, as application and filed.	the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that	in the application
file	ed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as app	the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that	in the application
file	ed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as app	the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that	in the application
file	ed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as app	the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that	in the application
file	ed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as app	the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that	in the application
file	ed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as app	the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that	in the application
file	ed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as app	the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that	in the application
file	ed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as app	the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that	in the application
file	ed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as app	the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that	in the application
file	ed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as app	the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that	in the application
file	ed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as app	the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that	in the application
file	ed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as app	the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that	in the application
file	ed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as app	the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that	in the application
file	ed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as app	the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that	in the application
file	ed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as app	the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that	in the application
file	ed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as app	the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that	in the application
file	ed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as app	the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that	in the application
file	ed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as app	the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that	in the application

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. I) (January 2004)

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/002740

Box			ile 43bis 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicabilit oporting such statement	y;
1.	Statement			,
	Novelty (N)	Claims	4, 5, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14	YES
		Claims	1-3, 6-9, 15, 16	NÓ
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	11, 14	YES
		Claims	1-10, 12, 13, 15, 16	No No
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-16	YES
		Claims		NO

- Citations and explanations:
  - 1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: DE 32 05 205-A D2: EP 0 190 965-A

- The present application fails to comply with the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) since the subject matter of independent claims 1 and 3 is not novel (PCT Article 33(2)).
- 2.1 Before dealing with the novelty of the abovementioned claims, reference is made to the following clarity problems (PCT Article 6).
- 2.1.1

The subject matter of independent claim 1 appears to be an aerator. It is assumed that a plumbing fixture with its water outlet does not form part of the invention, even though the aerator "is fixed" thereto. The preamble of claim 1 does not disclose any features of the aerator.

It is likewise unclear whether an "outer ring"

International application No. PCT/EP2005/002740

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

> should form part of the invention; the outer ring is "fixed" to the outlet end of the water outlet.

### 2.1,2

Independent claim 3 is unclear. Wording such as "in particular according to claim 1" should be deleted.

Claim 3 is in fact an independent claim. As a result, the present set of claims includes too many independent claims in the same claim category. There is no unity of invention (PCT Rule 13.1 and

13.2) between the present claim 1 and the subject matter of independent claim 3 since claim 1 does not disclose a "ball joint" or a corresponding feature.

### 2.1.3

Claims 4 to 5 relate to a feature which has previously been disclosed as optional: a "screwedin" connection has not yet been claimed.

### 2.1.4

Claim 6 specifies features for "the ball", even though claims 1 and 2 do not refer to a "ball joint" or directly to a "ball". Corresponding problems are repeated in the present set of claims.

2.2 In so far as the subject matter of claims 1 to 16 can be understood, the following is stated:

### 2.2.1

Documents D1 and D2 disclose aerators in a tap, and the aerators can be pivoted according to claim 1 (in

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)

International application No. PCT/EP2005/002740

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bls.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

this respect, see the passages in the search

The subject matter of independent claim 1 is therefore not novel.

#### 2.2.2

report).

The subject matter of independent claim 3 is likewise known from documents D1 and D2. Both documents disclose an aerator which is completely or at least partially mounted within a ball of a ball joint. An outer ring, where the ball is mounted, can be fixed in an outlet end of the water outlet (at this point, reference is again made to the passages in the search report).

The subject matter of independent claim 3 is therefore likewise not novel.

#### 2.2.3

The additional features of dependent claims 2, 6-9, 15 and 16 are also known from document D1, and therefore the subject matter of these claims is not novel. Accordingly, these claims likewise do not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(2).

### 2.2.4

Dependent claims 4, 5, 10, 12 and 13 do not contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, lead to a subject matter which involves an inventive step with respect to the citations mentioned in the present procedure. This is because the additional features of these claims are either already known

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)

Infernational application No. PCT/EP2005/002740

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. \( \( \) (a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

from documents D1 or D2 or are evident as an obvious combination of features from the citations mentioned in the present procedure or concern minor structural modifications which are part of the routine practice of a person skilled in the art.

### 2.2.5

The combination of features in dependent claim 11 is neither known nor obvious from the available prior art. In so far as it is dependent on claim 11, claim 14 likewise appears to be admissible.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)